	L38sDZHa	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	X	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
4	V.	21 CR 58 (MKV) Telephone Conference
5	ILONA DZHAMGAROVA, et al.,	1
6	Defendants.	
7	x	
8		New York, N.Y. March 8, 2021
9		2:00 p.m.
10	Before:	
11	HON. MARY KAY VYSKO	OCIL,
12		District Judge
13		
14	APPEARANCES	
15	AUDREY STRAUSS United States Attorney for the	
16	Southern District of New York DAVID R. FELTON	
17	JONATHAN E. REBOLD Assistant United States Attorney	
18	ARTHUR L. AIDALA	
19	Attorney for Defendant Dzhamgarova	a
20	MICHAEL FINEMAN	
21	Attorney for Defendant Reznik	
22	LANCE LAZZARO Attorney for Defendant Arcadian	
23		
24		
25		

1	(The Court and all parties appearing telephonically)
2	THE COURT: Good afternoon. This is Judge Vyskocil.
3	We're here in the matter of 21 CR 58, USA v.
4	Dzhamgarova, et al. I am Judge Vyskocil, and I will be
5	presiding over this case.
6	Before we go any further, I want to ask each
7	defendant, do you understand English clearly or do you require
8	the services of an interpreter?
9	Ms. Dzhamgarova.
10	DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: Yes, your Honor. I do
11	understand.
12	THE COURT: Do you require the services of an
13	interpreter?
14	DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: No, your Honor, I don't.
15	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Reznik?
16	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor. I understand
17	English, and I don't require services of an interpreter, your
18	Honor.
19	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Arcadian.
20	DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: Yes, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Yes. You understand English?
22	DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: Yes, I do.
23	THE COURT: Do you require the services of an
24	interpreter to understand today's proceedings?
25	DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: Yes. I understand today's

1	proceeding. I don't require the services of an interpreter.
2	THE COURT: You do not?
3	DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: I do not.
4	THE COURT: OK. Thank you.
5	DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Dempsey, are you able to
7	call the appearances, or did you want me to do that?
8	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Your Honor, I'm completely frozen.
9	THE COURT: All right. No problem.
10	Can I please ask for appearances for the record,
11	starting with the government.
12	MR. REBOLD: Yes, your Honor. Jonathan Rebold for the
13	government. I'm joined on this conference call with my
14	colleague, AUSA David Felton, and I believe that Special Agent
15	Russell Lantier with the Federal Bureau of Investigation either
16	has dialed in or is planning on dialing into this call.
17	AGENT LANTIER: That's correct. This is Special Agent
18	Russell Lantier. I am here.
19	THE COURT: Good afternoon. Thank you all.
20	Mr. Felton is having a problem with the video?
21	MR. FELTON: No, your Honor. I'm here. I don't know
22	if you can see or hear me. I'm here as well.
23	THE COURT: Now I can, yes. Thank you.
24	All right. Counsel for Ms. Dzhamgarova.
25	MR. AIDALA: Yes. Arthur Aidala, A-i-d-a-l-a.

1	Good afternoon, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Good afternoon. Counsel for Mr. Reznik?
3	MR. FINEMAN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Michael
4	Fineman on behalf of Mr. Reznik.
5	Mr. Reznik is present on the call as well, as you
6	know.
7	THE COURT: Yes. Thank you.
8	Counsel for Mr. Arcadian.
9	MR. LAZZARO: Lance Lazzaro for Mr. Arcadian.
10	Good afternoon, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
12	Mr. Lazzaro, do you have a video?
13	There you are. Everybody keeps moving around.
14	All right. Thank you.
15	All right. We do, of course, have a court reporter
16	with us today, Ms. Frankó.
17	Are you able to hear us all clearly?
18	THE REPORTER: That's correct, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
20	I have asked each of the defendants, I hope that was
21	on the record, whether they needed of the services of an
22	interpreter. They each clearly do not require the services of
23	an interpreter.
24	We do have an interpreter on standby on this call, so
25	should we get to a point where anybody feels that they would

prefer to have something interpreted, let the court know, and we can ask the interpreter to assist us.

All right? Before we go any further, needless to say, we are proceeding today remotely by video conference. We're doing that because we remain in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic, and I am conducting this proceeding by video conference pursuant to the authority provided by Section 15002 of the CARES Act and standing orders issued by our Chief Judge, Colleen McMahon, pursuant to that Act.

Having said that, today's proceeding is open to the press and to the public, as if we were in open court. We posted the dial-in information for today's proceeding on ECF. I would ask that anyone who is not speaking, please mute your line so that we can cut down on feedback and interference, and the court reporter can hear and I can hear, we all can hear each other more clearly.

I would also ask that when you do address the court, please identify yourself for the record so that we get a clear transcript of what happens today.

Now, as I hope each of the defendants knows and understands, pursuant to Rule 43 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, you have a right to appear in open court before me to be arraigned on the charges before you. Counsel and all defendants are appearing before me today by video conference.

I have received from each of the defendants -- or from their counsel, I should say -- two documents; a waiver of a right to be present at a criminal proceeding, specifically a waiver of a right to be present at today's arraignment, and a consent for me to conduct today's proceedings by video conference.

I would like to address first counsel for each of the defendants, and after your counsel speaks, I'm going to ask each of the defendants a few questions. So please listen very carefully to my colloquy with counsel so that I don't have to repeat the questions when I turn to each of the defendants.

So I'll go in the order in which people are named on the caption. So starting with Ms. Dzhamgarova, would counsel please explain to me first when you were first appointed and how you have consulted with the defendant, including whether you used the services of an interpreter for those communications. I would then like to know how the two documents, the waiver and the consent to proceed remotely, were provided to your client, did you explain to your client his or her right to appear before me in open court, did you sign this document, did your client sign the document, and was it your sense that your client understood his or her right to be present in open court and voluntarily gave up those rights and is consenting freely and voluntarily to proceeding remotely by video conference.

All right. So I will start with you, Mr. Aidala and Ms. Dzhamgarova.

MR. AIDALA: I wasn't appointed. I was retained approximately two weeks ago.

I've met with my client. We have had a face-to-face meeting on top of several phone calls. As the court knows, this past Thursday, there was a presentment before the magistrate, at which time my client was also physically in my office. At that time, we had a signed waiver to appear in person. As the court may not know, my client is an attorney.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. AIDALA: She is aware of her rights to appear personally. We did go over them. She does obviously understand why we are all not appearing in person and waived those rights for Thursday's presentment, and then waived again this Friday and over the weekend about appearing remotely. In fact, your Honor, it was her desire with my office for a remote location. She is with me today.

THE COURT: You keep cutting in and out every time you turn your head.

MR. AIDALA: Your Honor, to summarize it, I've met with my client personally. We have gone over the waiver. We have gone over her rights to appear in person and she has waived those rights to appear in person. She did so on Thursday for the presentment, and then she has done so again

today.
THE COURT: All right. Ms. Dzhamgarova, have you
heard your counsel's answers to my questions?
DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: And did you concur in his statement to me?
DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Anything that you wish to add or correct?
DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. You do understand that you
have the right to be present before me in court today?
DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: Yes, your Honor, I do.
THE COURT: And you are knowingly and voluntarily
agreeing to proceed instead today by video conference rather
than be present in open court?
DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. I have, as I say, two
documents with respect to Ms. Dzhamgarova. The first is the
waiver of right to be present at a criminal proceeding. The
document is not dated.
Counsel, I need, while you're together, counsel, can
we ship the camera back. Do you have a copy of this document?
MR. AIDALA: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Can you please date the waiver of right to

be present at criminal proceedings?

MR. AIDALA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And then right above, there are three requirements, frankly, that I'm supposed to satisfy under Rule 10 that the defendant has received and reviewed a copy of the indictment, that the defendant does not need me to read the indictment aloud, and that the defendant pleads not guilty. You're supposed to put checkmarks after those if they are applicable.

MR. AIDALA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So if you could please do that and send me the further document, and then we have the separate document, a consent to proceed by video. You did not check arraignment, which is what we're together for today.

MR. AIDALA: OK, your Honor.

Judge, I got out of a medical procedure, and I did not want to adjourn this for the court's sake. So although I reviewed all of these documents on Thursday and over the weekend, I did not see the final draft that was submitted to the court today.

I apologize, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. The consent to proceed by video also needs to be dated. All right.

Let me speak again --

While I'm with you, Mr. Aidala, is that your signature that appears on this document?

I'm sorry. Your signature is on the consent to

```
proceed by video conference?
1
 2
               MR. AIDALA: Yes, your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: And you did not communicate using an
      interpreter, correct?
 4
               MR. AIDALA: That is correct.
 5
 6
               THE COURT: All right. I see Ms. Dzhamgarova again
 7
      please.
               First of all, am I saying your name correctly?
8
9
               DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: Yes, your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: All right. Mine isn't the easiest either.
11
      I'm particularly sensitive to that.
12
               DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA:
                                       Thank you.
13
               THE COURT: Is this your signature that appears on the
     waiver of right to be present at a criminal proceeding?
14
15
               DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: Yes, your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: And did you, in fact, sign as well the
17
      consent to proceed by video conference?
18
               DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: Yes, your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: All right. And that includes at today's
20
      arraignment, even though you didn't check that box, you're
21
      revising that with your counsel and get me a revised form?
22
               DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: Yes, your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: All right.
                                       Thank you.
24
               All right.
                          Mr. Fineman, I have similar two documents
25
      that appear to be signed by Mr. Reznik.
```

Do you have a copy of the documents, sir?

DEFENDANT REZNIK: I do, your Honor. I provided them to the court.

THE COURT: All right. So can you please explain to me, when you were retained or appointed and the circumstances under which you met with your client, and then would you please explain to me how these forms were provided to Mr. Reznik, whether you explained to him his right to be present in open court, and whether, based on that conversation, you formed the opinion that he knows and understands his rights and is voluntarily waiving them.

MR. FINEMAN: Your Honor, I was retained in this matter last week. Formally retained on Thursday, but the client and I had met previously to that.

We have discussed this case as well as this procedure. This is the second time we are appearing remotely in this case. We appeared remotely via telephone on Friday for the initial presentment where my client filled out similar forms. The way and the manner in which my client received these forms for today's appearance was that I was provided them by e-mail by the court.

I forwarded them by e-mail to my client. We've discussed them over the phone. I explained to him his right to be present in court and the reasons that we're not physically present today, because of the ongoing pandemic and health

crisis. He understands that while he does have a right to appear in court, that waiving that right is in his interest today so that we can proceed with the arraignment today before your Honor. He reviewed the paperwork, and I believe he'll be able to speak for himself and said that he signed it after reviewing it and speaking to me about it.

And is that your signature, Mr. Fineman, on the second page of the waiver of right to be present at criminal proceedings?

MR. FINEMAN: It is, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Is that your signature on the consent to proceed by video?

MR. FINEMAN: It is, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. As with the prior conversation that I had, your client has not checked that he received and reviewed a copy of the indictment, that he does not need me to read the indictment aloud, and that he pleads not guilty to the charges.

So if that is, in fact, the case, I would like you please to revise this form, to date it, there is no date on it, as well as check if it is the case that he is waiving his right to be present and conferences on the back page and date that, and with regard to the consent to proceed by video, you haven't checked status or scheduling conference, which we are going to do after we do the arraignment today.

1	So I need all of those revised, please, and returned
2	to us. But do you?
3	MR. FINEMAN: Yes, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: In fact, are each of those applicable and
5	do you, in fact, consent to each of those?
6	MR. FINEMAN: Your Honor, we actually discussed all of
7	those, the client and I. We discussed receiving the
8	indictment. We have gone over the indictment, we have also
9	discussed his right to have the indictment read in court, as
10	well as his waiver of that reading of the indictment.
11	We didn't check in those dates because we believed the
12	signature on the bottom would be encompassing of the entire
13	paragraph above, but we can modify that as necessary.
14	THE COURT: And add a date, please.
14 15	THE COURT: And add a date, please. MR. FINEMAN: Yes, your Honor.
15	MR. FINEMAN: Yes, your Honor.
15 16	MR. FINEMAN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. May I speak to Mr. Reznik,
15 16 17	MR. FINEMAN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. May I speak to Mr. Reznik, please.
15 16 17 18	MR. FINEMAN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. May I speak to Mr. Reznik, please. Mr. Reznik, are you on the line still?
15 16 17 18 19	MR. FINEMAN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. May I speak to Mr. Reznik, please. Mr. Reznik, are you on the line still? DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor. I'm here.
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. FINEMAN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. May I speak to Mr. Reznik, please. Mr. Reznik, are you on the line still? DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor. I'm here. THE COURT: All right. Is your camera turned on?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. FINEMAN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. May I speak to Mr. Reznik, please. Mr. Reznik, are you on the line still? DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor. I'm here. THE COURT: All right. Is your camera turned on? DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. FINEMAN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. May I speak to Mr. Reznik, please. Mr. Reznik, are you on the line still? DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor. I'm here. THE COURT: All right. Is your camera turned on? DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes. THE COURT: There you are. All right, sir.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. FINEMAN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. May I speak to Mr. Reznik, please. Mr. Reznik, are you on the line still? DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor. I'm here. THE COURT: All right. Is your camera turned on? DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes. THE COURT: There you are. All right, sir. Have you heard my conversation with your counsel?

1	to me?
2	DEFENDANT REZNIK: No, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Is there anything you wish to add?
4	DEFENDANT REZNIK: No, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Did you, in fact, sign this document
6	labeled waiver of right to be present at criminal proceedings,
7	specifically at your arraignment today?
8	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Do you waive your right to be present at
10	conferences in this matter, specifically the conference we're
11	going to hold today?
12	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: And do you agree to proceed by video
14	conference at today's arraignment and at the conference we're
15	going to hold after arraignment?
16	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: All right. Is that your signature on the
18	first page of the waiver of the right to be present at criminal
19	proceedings?
20	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: And is that your signature on the consent
22	to proceed by video?
23	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Do you, in fact, knowingly do you
25	understand your rights and do you knowingly waive them?

1 DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

All right. Counsel for Mr. Arcadian, that is

Mr. Lazzaro, right?

MR. LAZZARO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Sir, you've heard the questions that I have put to your colleagues. Could you please explain to me how and when you were retained or appointed, how you've met with your client, and how you executed with your client these two forms, the waiver of right to be present and the consent to proceed remotely?

MR. LAZZARO: I got retained around two weeks ago with Mr. Arcadian. He has been in my office numerous times. I last met with him on Friday of this past week, went over the forms with him. He understands the nature of waiving his right to be present in court. He agrees to be seen remotely, and I ended up basically executing those forms on his behalf. I actually revised it around an hour ago, Judge, and sent to you and dated it and actually checked each box.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. LAZZARO: I think I'm good at this stage.

And he understands his rights that he is giving up, and he understands the nature that we're still in a pandemic and agrees to be seen remotely. He's actually in Arthur Aidala's office.

1	THE COURT: I was going to ask you that. That is your
2	client in Mr. Aidala's office?
3	MR. LAZZARO: He is, Judge. They are married. They
4	were going to appear together today in Mr. Aidala's office, and
5	I have no issue with that.
6	THE COURT: The two defendants are married?
7	MR. LAZZARO: Yes.
8	THE COURT: All right. And you did not use the
9	services of an interpreter in any of these?
10	MR. LAZZARO: No, Judge. He speaks fluent English.
11	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Arcadian?
12	DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: Yes, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: That's Mr. Aidala's name underneath you,
14	that's why.
15	OK. Did you, sir, hear my colloquy with your counsel?
16	DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: Yes, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Do you agree with everything he
18	represented to the court?
19	DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: Yes, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Is there anything that you wish to add?
21	DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: No.
22	THE COURT: Do you, in fact, understand that you have
23	a right to appear before me in open court for your arraignment
24	in this matter?
25	DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: Yes. I understand my right and I

waive it in light of the pandemic.

THE COURT: And you signed this waiver of the right to be present at a criminal proceeding, specifically your arraignment?

DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And you do have a copy of the indictment and you have had a chance to review it with your lawyer, and you voluntarily waive your right?

DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Do you consent to our proceeding today by video conference?

DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I do find, based on my colloquy with counsel and with the defendants, that each of the defendants knows and understands his or her right to be present before me in open court for today's arraignment and that each of the defendants has knowingly and voluntarily waived those rights and has voluntarily consented to our proceeding today by video conference.

In light of all of those answers, I find pursuant to Rule 10(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, a knowing and voluntary waiver of defendants' right to have the arraignment proceed today in open court.

All right. Why we were assembled today, as you know, we are here for the arraignment of each of the defendants on

1	the indictment in this matter. I will call on each defendant
2	by name. I will then ask each of you the following four
3	questions:
4	Have you seen a copy of the indictment containing the
5	charges against you?
6	Have you discussed it with your lawyer?
7	Do you waive public reading, or do you need me to read
8	the indictment aloud on the record?
9	And how do you plead to the charges against you?
10	All right. So let's begin with Ms. Dzhamgarova. Have
11	you seen a copy of the indictment, ma'am?
12	DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: Yes, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: All right. Have you discussed the
14	indictment with your lawyer?
15	DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: Yes, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Have you had ample opportunity to ask any
17	questions or have any communications that you need with your
18	lawyer in order to be prepared to proceed today?
19	DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: Yes, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: All right. Do you need me to read the
21	indictment aloud, or do you waive public reading?
22	DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: I waive public reading.
23	THE COURT: All right. And how do you plead to the
24	charges against you?
25	DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: Not guilty.

1	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
2	DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Reznik, have you seen a copy of the
4	indictment?
5	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: And have you had an opportunity to discuss
7	it with your lawyer?
8	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: You have, in fact, discussed the charges
10	against you with counsel?
11	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes.
12	THE COURT: And have you had an ample opportunity to
13	consult in order to be prepared to proceed with today's
14	arraignment?
14 15	arraignment? DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor.
15	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor.
15 16	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you need me to read the indictment
15 16 17	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you need me to read the indictment aloud to you, or do you waive public reading?
15 16 17 18	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you need me to read the indictment aloud to you, or do you waive public reading? DEFENDANT REZNIK: I waive public reading, your Honor.
15 16 17 18 19	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you need me to read the indictment aloud to you, or do you waive public reading? DEFENDANT REZNIK: I waive public reading, your Honor. THE COURT: And how do you plead to the charges
15 16 17 18 19 20	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you need me to read the indictment aloud to you, or do you waive public reading? DEFENDANT REZNIK: I waive public reading, your Honor. THE COURT: And how do you plead to the charges against you?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you need me to read the indictment aloud to you, or do you waive public reading? DEFENDANT REZNIK: I waive public reading, your Honor. THE COURT: And how do you plead to the charges against you? DEFENDANT REZNIK: Not guilty, your Honor.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you need me to read the indictment aloud to you, or do you waive public reading? DEFENDANT REZNIK: I waive public reading, your Honor. THE COURT: And how do you plead to the charges against you? DEFENDANT REZNIK: Not guilty, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you need me to read the indictment aloud to you, or do you waive public reading? DEFENDANT REZNIK: I waive public reading, your Honor. THE COURT: And how do you plead to the charges against you? DEFENDANT REZNIK: Not guilty, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Arcadian.

1 indictment and do you have a copy of the indictment containing 2 the charges against you? 3 DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: Yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: You have had an opportunity to discuss the 5 indictment and the charges with your lawyer? 6 DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Have you had ample opportunity to consult, in order that you understand the proceedings and the charges, 8 9 and are prepared to proceed with today's arraignment? 10 DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: And do you need me to read the indictment 12 aloud, or do you waive public reading? 13 DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: I waive public reading. 14 THE COURT: And how do you plead to the charges against you? 15 16 DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: Not quilty, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 18 A plea of not quilty will be entered on behalf of each 19 of the defendants to the charges against them. 20 All right. Let's move to the status conference 21 portion of today's proceeding. I don't know who will be 22 speaking on behalf of the government. 23 Is that you, Mr. Felton or Mr. Rebold? 24 MR. REBOLD: It will be me, Mr. Rebold, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: All right. I'm not seeing you again now.

Is your camera turned on, sir?

MR. REBOLD: It is. I'm seeing myself, but I don't know if that is really dispositive.

THE COURT: You're coming back into view. There you go.

OK. So can I please hear from you then about, briefly, the nature of the case?

MR. REBOLD: Yes, your Honor. As laid out in, I'm sure, greater detail in the indictment, this case involves a conspiracy to commit immigration fraud. The investigation started with a focus on Ms. Dzhamgarova, who has a law firm in Brooklyn, and who offers immigration services to mostly individuals from Russia and the other commonwealth of independent states who are seeking some form of legal status in this country.

Among other things, that investigation revealed that Ms. Dzhamgarova frequently encouraged and/or aided her clients to seek asylum under fraudulent pretenses, including by, among other things, helping them make claims that they were gay males or lesbian females who had been persecuted in their native countries and for whom it would be unsafe to return to those countries, when she fully understood that these clients were heterosexual males and females who had suffered no such persecution.

As part of the investigation, Ms. Dzhamgarova would

tell clients to obtain certain documents, such as membership cards at particular LGBTQ center, to help weave up their application, to help prove their sexual orientation, and she worked with what she referred to as writers or journalists who would help her clients write an asylum affidavit, which is an optional statement of one's personal history, past persecution, and/or proposed basis for asylum that is frequently appended to a person's I-589 asylum application.

This case, among other things, involved the use of a cooperating witness, who Ms. Dzhamgarova referred to defendant Igor Reznik, who served as a journalist for that particular applicant's fraudulent asylum application.

Mr. Reznik, during the course of a number of meetings and phone calls, but including an initial pair of phone calls that lasted about five hours with the cooperating witness on his own, and understanding that the cooperating witness was a heterosexual male, completely fabricated and concocted a very lengthy and detailed story of historical persecution on behalf of the cooperating witness. He did so with very little assistance or prompting from the cooperating witness.

And that document, which was revised over time but turned out to be about nine pages of single-spaced proposal, laid out in great detail a story of persecution that Mr. Reznik fabricated himself, wrote himself, and that he understood never actually occurred.

When that cooperating witness had to go for his asylum interview with an asylum office with USCIS, which is United States Citizenship and Immigration Services, Ms. Dzhamgarova was unavailable. So she referred her husband, who is Mr. Arcadian, also an immigration attorney, to represent the cooperating witness.

Mr. Arcadian also was made fully aware that the application for that cooperating witness was based entirely on fraud and, nevertheless, helped assist that witness to prepare for his asylum interview, coached him how to answer certain questions, and provided the asylum affidavit, which he knew was false, provided other documentation, which he knew was fraudulent or obtained based on fraudulent pretenses and accompanied that witness into his asylum interview, which was held under oath with an asylum officer, in which the cooperating witness repeated many of the same lies that he had discussed with the three defendants.

Our investigation is ongoing, but to be clear, it is revealed that these services that were extended to the cooperating witness have been extended to multiple other clients of this firm over a period of years, and I expect that there will be eventually a superseding indictment that expands the period of time of the conspiracy.

I can certainly provide greater detail that is helpful, your Honor, but I think that's about the 30,000 foot

overview.

THE COURT: That's sufficient.

Does the indictment remain under seal?

MR. REBOLD: I'm not sure why it's listed as such, but on the date of the defendant's arrest on February 18, we saw it, and I believe it was Judge Katharine Parker, Magistrate Judge, who signed our request for unsealing of the indictment. And it should be unsealed, and I can forward that signed document by Judge Parker to the court if it would be helpful in making the indictment publicly available, if it isn't already.

THE COURT: I'm just trying to look at the docket.

If you could forward it to us, I would appreciate that. Just scanning very quickly, I'm not seeing it. It is still listed as a sealed indictment.

MR. REBOLD: My colleague, Mr. Felton, just apprised me that docket entry number three on ECF should be the order unsealing the indictment. I'm trying to pull it up myself now.

THE COURT: It is. Order to unseal. Yes. You can access it.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Document number two is actually -- can be publicly accessed when a case is sealed.

THE COURT: That's what I'm saying. I can call it up when I click on it.

OK. Thank you very much both Ms. Dempsey and counsel.

All right. Mr. Rebold, what's the status of the

25

```
1
      speedy trial clock?
               MR. REBOLD: Your Honor, I think today would be the
 2
 3
      first day. Today is the date of arraignment. So, to my
 4
      knowledge, no speedy trial time has elapsed just yet.
 5
               THE COURT: OK. Thank you.
6
               Do any of the defense counsel have anything they wish
 7
      to add to or any disagreement with anything -- obviously, you
      dispute the charges -- but anything you wish to comment on with
8
9
      respect to what Mr. Rebold has put on the record?
10
               MR. AIDALA: No, your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
               Mr. Lazzaro?
12
13
               MR. LAZZARO: No, your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: Who was that?
15
               MR. FINEMAN: Michael Fineman.
16
               Nothing further, your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fineman.
18
               MR. LAZZARO: Mr. Lazzaro. Judge, nothing further.
19
               THE COURT: I'm sorry. Thank you.
20
               We've heard from all three defendants?
21
               MR. AIDALA: Yes, your Honor.
22
               MR. FINEMAN: Yes, your Honor.
23
               MR. LAZZARO: Yes, your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: You need to remember to please identify
```

yourselves when you address the court so that the court

reporter knows who it is that is answering, and that I know.

All right. Mr. Rebold, are there any victims who need to be notified under the Crimes Victims Act?

MR. REBOLD: Not that I'm aware of at this time. I think to the extent there are victims, they would be people who also theoretically are coconspirators who submitted fraudulent applications with assistance of the charged defendants.

So, I'm sorry, that's a longer way of me saying no.

THE COURT: All right. Let's talk about discovery.

I did post on the docket in this case an order pursuant to Rule 5(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. I will also remind the government orally, this is the first time that we've all been together at one court appearance. So I remind you orally on the record of your obligation to comply with your duties under Brady v.maryland and under the U.S. v. Giglio case and their progenies.

You're obligated to disclose to the defense all information, whether admissible or not, that is favorable to the defendant, material either to guilt or to punishment, and known to the prosecution.

Possible consequences for noncompliance may include dismissal of individual charges or of the entire case, exclusion of evidence, and professional discipline or court sanctions on any attorney responsible for any violation of disclosure obligations.

As I say, I have entered a written order more fully describing these obligations and the possible consequences of a failure to meet them. I direct the prosecution to review and comply with that order.

Counsel, do you understand your obligations with respect to discovery and commit to fulfill them in this matter?

MR. REBOLD: Yes, your Honor. We both understand our obligations and commit to fulfill them.

THE COURT: All right. Can you please talk to me about discovery?

What's the status? What type of evidence do you expect to produce and how long do you need to do so?

MR. REBOLD: So, taking us in reverse order, your Honor, we are requesting about six weeks, if that is acceptable to the court and defense counsel, to produce what I expect will be the bulk of discovery, which includes, among other things, recordings of meetings and conversations between witnesses and the defendants, draft translations and transcripts of those conversations, search warrants and search warrant results, agent reports, and among other things, device — electronic devices that are being searched, e-mail accounts that are being searched, and USCIS files that are being collected.

I would caution your Honor that the USCIS files, to collect those, I think, is a lengthy process. We are trying to track down, frankly, every USCIS file that can be connected to

any of these defendants, any application. We are testing as wide as we can. It may take some time to get all of those.

We do intend to produce discovery on a rolling basis, and certainly would commit to working with counsel to try to push out as quickly as possible the things that I think would be of most interest to them, both in terms of proof of guilt from the government's perspective and the sorts of things that would form the basis of a suppression motion or a motion to dismiss.

There are other things like electronic devices that we have recovered that will require the government to undergo a series of reviews that will probably cause those devices to be reviewed over more than just six weeks. And just to lay that out, your Honor, we have recovered a number of computers, for example, and at least one cellular telephone that we intend to search pursuant to a warrant.

Those searches require agents to first translate most of the communications from Russian to English so they understand what they are looking at, requires them to then conduct a privilege review, which in this particular case is particularly onerous, as the court may imagine, because many of these communications on their face will be privileged, unless there is an exception and they need to be reviewed for a crime fraud exception. For example, there is a marriage privilege that may come up between Ms. Dzhamgarova and Mr. Arcadian. And

then on top of all of that, a relevance review needs to be conducted.

I will float now, and I have not raised this with defense counsel, but to the extent attorneys for the defendants are willing, upon the furnishing of the warrants to consent to the productions of the full devices, to all of the codefendants that would obviously speed up and facilitate this production. But in the absence of that, it may take quite some time to conduct those reviews and turn over those records, and we'll just wanted to flag that for the court, because those particular searches and discovery make up a bulk of the requested six-week window.

THE COURT: How long, realistically, are you talking about for everything?

MR. REBOLD: Well, the devices, I just hesitate to say, your Honor, because we haven't even gotten into many of them yet. Some of them could be empty, some of them could have terabytes of data. I don't know about the number of interpreters that we'll have.

I can certainly endeavor to update the court in the absence of consent from the parties to just provide a full download of the devices. And the USCIS files, we're also in a sort of we don't know what we don't know stage at this moment because we just don't know how many files there are.

But, again, I do think that the vast majority of our

evidence in this case will be turned over within the next few weeks.

THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. Go ahead.

MR. REBOLD: Certainly the evidence that I would at least conceive would form the basis of any pretrial motions.

THE COURT: Does anyone wish to be heard on the defense side?

MR. AIDALA: This is Arthur Aidala, your Honor.

I guess, obviously, we're all going to have to be a little flexible until Mr. Rebold seems to have a better handle of what he has. Obviously we will turn over whatever devices

he needs to upload the discovery on, whether it is hard drives, etc., etc.

THE COURT: All right. Are the parties anticipating a

protective order in this case?

MR. REBOLD: I'm sorry, your Honor. Yes, I intend to convey to defense counsel, in short order, a proposed protective order and --

THE COURT: What do you mean in short order?

MR. REBOLD: In the next 24 to 48 hours, if not

sooner.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. REBOLD: The case involves, among other things, the USCIS applications. Every single one of those contains a lot of personal identifying information. The devices belonging

to the individual defendants may contain a great deal of personal identifying information.

The case is built on cooperating witnesses and other civilian witnesses who are cooperating with the government whose identities also warrant some protection.

THE COURT: All right. The reason I'm asking, I'm trying to get a sense of what is a logical schedule for this case. In the normal course, at this conference, I would set a date by which any motions addressed to discovery need to be made and I would set a trial date.

But given the world we're living in, with all the restriction from COVID, which impact both the court's ability -- I cannot simply schedule a trial date, as I think you're all aware, right now in the Southern District. The way we're operating is that when a case is trial ready, I put in a request to the clerk's office on a quarterly basis, and then I'm assigned a trial slot if one is available.

So we're already booked for the second quarter. We would be talking, at the very earliest, the third quarter of this year. But it is meaningless to just arbitrarily -- and, in fact, you know, I can't just arbitrarily say a trial date.

Similarly, with respect to motion practice, I don't want to arbitrarily set a motion deadline if we don't know how long discovery is going to take.

So what I would propose to you, seems to me to make

the most sense, is for you to give me a realistic sense of how long you think discovery will take, and we will then have a conference, as we approach the end of that time frame, for defendants to talk to me about, have you had full access to that discovery, have you had the opportunity to review it with your clients, do you anticipate motion practice with respect to the discovery. And if so, then we'll set the schedule for motion practice and a target date or target quarter for the trial of the case.

Does that make sense to people?

MR. REBOLD: Your Honor, we, of course, defer to whatever the court thinks makes sense and whatever defense counsel wishes. I think that we could commit to get the bulk of discovery out, sort of the caveat that is laid out, within about six weeks. And if defense counsel wanted, you know, to be given two months to review that and file motions, I think that would make sense for the government. And then maybe, you know, four weeks for the government to respond from there and two weeks for defense to reply.

But if the court and the parties think it makes more sense to set an aspirational date for discovery and then confer again when we reach that date, we, of course, are flexible and and willing to do that.

THE COURT: All right. Sir.

MR. AIDALA: I would prefer with what I believe the

court suggested was, which was let's see when we get the discovery and then how voluminous the discovery is, to then set a realistic date for motions and possibly a trial.

THE COURT: Mr. Fineman or Mr. Lazzaro, anything from either of you?

MR. FINEMAN: Your Honor, I agree with Mr. Aidala. The way the government is describing the search they are going to be conducting for immigration documents and paperwork, I imagine the discovery in this case could be quite voluminous, and I would like to have as much time as possible to review the paperwork as it pertains to my client, if and to what extent it pertains to my client.

I would like a longer date to have an opportunity to review the discovery and come back and discuss a trial date.

THE COURT: When are you proposing, sir?

Two months is May. Are you proposing longer than that?

MR. FINEMAN: I personally would suggest, if the government is going to take six weeks to provide discovery, that we should have at least six weeks to review it.

THE COURT: Mr. Lazzaro?

MR. LAZZARO: What I would suggest, your Honor, is if the government is going to take six weeks, I think we need at least eight to 12 weeks to review it. We should come back maybe in four months for a status conference.

Then if there are any issues with respect to any motions involved, then the court could set a motion schedule.

I just think at this stage, without seeing the discovery, it is premature to set a trial date.

THE COURT: I can't set a trial date.

MR. LAZZARO: And there may even be an issue, Judge, with them going through the phones, because that might be privileged material and I don't know if the U.S. Attorney's office has that right to go through that.

You might have to send a monitor, almost like what happened with Michael Cohen and Donald Trump when they went into Cohen's files.

THE COURT: The parties are going to have to raise that with me if and when the issue is ripe.

MR. LAZZARO: I agree, Judge. It may not be ripe, but we have to see what they turn over.

THE COURT: All right. Today is March 8. So if the defendants want four months, which is what you said, I believe, Mr. Lazzaro?

MR. LAZZARO: Correct.

THE COURT: We can reconvene. That would put us April, May, June, July, sometime in early July.

Ms. Dempsey, can you take a look at the calendar, and I know that there is a week in early July that is not available.

25

1 THE DEPUTY CLERK: July 13. THE COURT: I think that doesn't work, right? I think 2 I have a conflict. 3 4 THE DEPUTY CLERK: You're right. You're right. 5 Hold on. 6 (Pause) 7 July 6. MR. AIDALA: That's good for me, if it is good for the 8 9 court and co-counsel. 10 THE COURT: I would rather do July 1, if we can. 11 Does that work, Ms. Dempsey? 12 THE DEPUTY CLERK: It does. 13 THE COURT: All right. July 1 at 10:00 a.m., we will 14 have a further conference. In the meantime, if there are motions that anybody 15 needs to bring to the court's attention, for example, there was 16 17 a mention about whether the government ought to have access to certain devices or whether a monitor needs to be appointed. 18 Certainly you can bring on a motion in advance of that date. 19 20 But otherwise, at that conference on July 1, we will 21 talk about a schedule for any motions, including motions to 22 suppress evidence, or any other motion anyone wishes to make, 23 and we'll talk about what is a realistic time frame to request 24 a slot for trial.

In the meantime, I would like to speak to the

defendants for a moment. I won't see you again then until July, unless something comes up in the interim. I want to just note to each of you -- I'm not saying this should happen or there is any reason this ought to happen -- but if for any reason you need or you want to change counsel, I caution you do not wait until the last minute. If you have retained counsel and you're eligible for appointed counsel or you have retained counsel and for any other reason you want to change counsel, it is critical that you raise that issue with the court as soon as possible.

Some of you are lawyers. You know and you understand, you need time to prepare a case, and it will not be in your interest to wait until the last minute. Once a trial date is set, it is set, and a change of counsel is not going to be a reason to delay a trial. And it will work to your detriment if you wait until the very last minute. I may not grant your application, and you may being denied your request to change counsel, or you may otherwise prejudice your own case if you delay.

But as I said at the outside, I'm not suggesting to any of you that there is a reason you should be requesting to change counsel. I'm just reminding you.

DEFENDANT DZHAMGAROVA: Yes, your Honor.

DEFENDANT ARCADIAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Reznik?

1	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
3	DEFENDANT REZNIK: Yes.
4	THE COURT: I assume the conditions of release will
5	remain the same; there are no applications?
6	Mr. Rebold, that is addressed to you.
7	MR. REBOLD: I'm sorry, your Honor.
8	The government is satisfied with the conditions that
9	have been agreed upon by the parties during the defendants'
10	respective presentments over the last week.
11	I'm sorry, your Honor. If now is the time, we would
12	make an application to the court that all speedy trial time
13	THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on for a minute with the
14	speedy trial clock.
15	MR. REBOLD: Sure.
10	
16	THE COURT: Are there any applications from any
	THE COURT: Are there any applications from any defendants with respect to the conditions of release?
16	
16 17	defendants with respect to the conditions of release?
16 17 18	defendants with respect to the conditions of release? Mr. Aidala?
16 17 18 19	defendants with respect to the conditions of release? Mr. Aidala? MR. AIDALA: No, your Honor.
16 17 18 19 20	defendants with respect to the conditions of release? Mr. Aidala? MR. AIDALA: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Lazzaro?
16 17 18 19 20 21	defendants with respect to the conditions of release? Mr. Aidala? MR. AIDALA: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Lazzaro? MR. LAZZARO: No, your Honor.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	defendants with respect to the conditions of release? Mr. Aidala? MR. AIDALA: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Lazzaro? MR. LAZZARO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	defendants with respect to the conditions of release? Mr. Aidala? MR. AIDALA: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Lazzaro? MR. LAZZARO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Fineman?

25

1 with respect to the speedy trial clock. 2 MR. REBOLD: Sorry for getting ahead of myself there, 3 your Honor. 4 THE COURT: That's all right. 5 MR. REBOLD: Your Honor, the government respectfully 6 requests that all speedy trial time between today and July 1 of 7 this year be excluded in the interest of justice so that the government can produce discovery to the defendants, so that the 8 9 defendants can review those materials and contemplate pretrial 10 motions, and perhaps so the parties can engage in negotiation 11 of a pretrial disposition that may be favorable to the 12 defendants vis-a-vis what they may be facing following trial. 13 THE COURT: All right. Anything from the defendants, 14 Mr. Aidala? 15 MR. AIDALA: No, your Honor. No objection. 16 THE COURT: No objection. OK. 17 Mr. Lazzaro? 18 MR. LAZZARO: Nothing, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Is that consent, objection? 20 MR. LAZZARO: No, I consent. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 Mr. Fineman? 23 MR. FINEMAN: Your Honor, I consent. 24 THE COURT: All right. I will exclude time from today

until July 1 under the Speedy Trial Act. I do find that the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ends of justice served by excluding such time outweigh the interests of the public and each of the defendants in a speedy trial because it will allow time for the government to prepare and produce discovery to the defendants, it will allow time for the counsel to review that evidence, to share it with their clients, the defendants, and review it with the defendants, to consider whether there are any motions that, if any, the defendants wish to make, and it will allow time for the parties to, if they so wish, to negotiate a potential consensual disposition of the case.

In addition, there is no objection from Ms. Dzhamgarova and Mr. Arcadian and Mr. Reznik consent to the exclusion of time.

Is there anything else we can talk about today or accomplish, Mr. Rebold?

MR. REBOLD: Not from the government, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Aidala?

MR. AIDALA: No. Thank you very much, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Lazzaro?

MR. LAZZARO: Nothing, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Fineman?

MR. FINEMAN: Nothing further, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. All that remains for me then

L38sDZHa is to thank our court reporter, Ms. Frankó, very much. I wish everyone a good afternoon, and please stay safe and healthy. (Adjourned)